

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 21016456	<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b>	See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/SE2004/001487	International filing date ( <i>day/month/year</i> ) 15 October 2004 (15.10.2004)	Priority date ( <i>day/month/year</i> ) 31 October 2003 (31.10.2003)
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant ANOTO IP LIC HB		

1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.  
  
In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- |                                     |              |   |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. I    | Basis of the report   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. II   | Priority  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. III  | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. IV   | Lack of unity of invention  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. V    | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. VI   | Certain documents cited   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. VII  | Certain defects in the international application  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application   |

4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland  Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Date of issuance of this report 01 May 2006 (01.05.2006)  Authorized officer <p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">Philippe Becamel</p> Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 90
---	--

REST AVAILABLE COPY

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 25 NOV 2004

WIPO

PCT

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

AWAPATENT AB  
BOX 5117  
200 71 MALMÖ

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing  
(day/month/year)

17-11-2004

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

Applicant's or agent's file reference

21016456

International application No.

PCT/SE 2004/001487

International filing date (day/month/year)

15-10-2004

Priority date (day/month/year)

31-10-2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

G06F3/033, G06F17/60

Applicant

Anoto AB et al

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion  
☐ Box No. II Priority  
☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  
☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  
☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited  
☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further opinions, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/SE  
Patent- och registreringsverket  
Box 5055  
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM  
Facsimile No. +46 8 667 72 88

Authorized officer

Jan Silfverling /LR

Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

# BEST AVAILABLE COPY

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/SE 2004/001487

Box No. I

Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

☐ a sequence listing

☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

☐ in written format

☐ in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

☐ contained in the international application as filed.

☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/SE 2004/001487

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

### 1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims		YES
	Claims	1-27	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	1-27	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-27	YES
	Claims		NO

### 2. Citations and explanations:

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 02075629

D2: WO 03046708

D1 relates to an information management system comprising a plurality of products provided with a position code and a plurality of digital pens capable of reading the position code. The digital pens are coupled to a server means communicating with the pens in at least one communication network. The server means includes a position database which associates positions coded by the position code with rules for information management. The digital pens are arranged to communicate to the server means the information registered on the product. D1 also shows that the server means includes an interface unit by which actors in the system can enter data into the system.

D2 is cited to show the state of the art in recording of hand-written information from an electronic pen.

The only difference between the invention according to claims 1, 21, 22, and 23 and document D1, which is considered to be the most relevant document, is the notation of the "server means" in D1, which is called "flow path controller" in the claims. Both means seems to have the same function and therefore the invention according to claims 1, 21, 22, and 23 is considered to be known by D1.

.../...

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/SE 2004/001487

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.  
Continuation of: BOX V

Claims 2-20 and 24-27 are indicating details, which are either known from D1 or obvious for a person skilled in the art by knowing D1.

Therefore, the invention according to claims 1-27 is known or lacks inventive step. The invention has industrial applicability.